

MEETING OF THE
KAUAI COUNTY HISTORIC PRESERVATION REVIEW COMMISSION
THURSDAY, JANUARY 7, 2016

3:00 p.m. (or soon thereafter)
Lihu'e Civic Center, Moikeha Building
Meeting Room 2A/2B
4444 Rice Street, Lihu'e, Kauai

RECEIVED

'15 DEC 29 P1:12

AGENDA

OFFICE OF
THE COUNTY CLERK
COUNTY OF KAUAI

- A. CALL TO ORDER
- B. SWEARING IN OF NEW AND REAPPOINTED COMMISSION MEMBERS
- C. APPROVAL OF THE AGENDA
- D. APPROVAL OF THE DECEMBER 3, 2015 MEETING MINUTES
- E. PUBLIC COMMENT - Individuals may orally testify on items on this agenda during the Public Comment Period. Please call the Planning Department prior to the meeting or notify Commission Staff at the meeting site. Testimony shall also be accepted when the agenda item is taken up by the Commission. However if an individual has already testified during this period, additional testimony at the agenda item testimony may be allowed at the discretion of the Chair. Testifiers shall limit their testimony to three (3) minutes, but may be extended longer at the discretion of the Chair. Written testimony is also accepted. An original and twelve (12) copies of written testimony can be hand delivered to the Planning Department or submitted to Commission Staff at the meeting site.
- F. ANNOUNCEMENTS AND GENERAL BUSINESS MATTERS
- G. COMMUNICATIONS
- H. UNFINISHED BUSINESS
 - 1. Report from investigative committee (Permitted Interaction Group) to discuss and explore draft update of the Kauai Historic Resource Inventory. Once formed and the task completed, the investigative committee will present its findings to the Commission in a duly noticed meeting for decision-making.
 - a. Presentation by the State Historic Preservation Division on the Fiscal Year 2012 Update of Kauai Historic Resource Listing - Reconnaissance Level Survey.
 - 2. Discussion on the status of the Certified Local Government.

I. NEW BUSINESS

1. Letter of Support for Kauai Nui Kuapapa Program.
2. Resolution on Preservation/Historic Planner for FY 2017 Budget.

J. COMMISSION EDUCATION

1. Review of Article 14 of Chapter 8 of the Kauai County Code 1987, as amended, (Comprehensive Zoning Ordinance) regarding the Kauai Historic Preservation Review Commission and its Interaction in the Historic Preservation Review Process Pursuant to Hawaii Revised Statutes Chapter 6E.

E. SELECTION OF NEXT MEETING DATE AND AGENDA TOPICS

1. Selection of 2016 meeting dates.

F. SELECTION OF 2016 CHAIRPERSON AND VICE CHAIRPERSON

G. ADJOURNMENT

EXECUTIVE SESSION: The Commission may go into an executive session on an agenda item for one of the permitted purposes listed in Section 92-5(a) Hawaii Revised Statutes ("H.R.S."), without noticing the executive session on the agenda where the executive session was not anticipated in advance. HRS Section 92-7(a). The executive session may only be held, however, upon an affirmative vote of two-thirds of the members present, which must also be the majority of the members to which the board is entitled. HRS Section 92-4. The reason for holding the executive session shall be publicly announced.

Note: Special accommodations and sign language interpreters are available upon request five (5) days prior to the meeting date, to the County Planning Department, 4444 Rice Street, Suite 473, Lihue, Hawaii 96766. Telephone: 241-4050.

KAUA'I COUNTY HISTORIC PRESERVATION REVIEW COMMISSION
Lihu'e Civic Center, Mo'ikeha Building, Meeting Room 2A/2B

MINUTES

A regular meeting of the Kaua'i County Historic Preservation Commission (KHPRC) was held on December 3, 2015 in the Lihu'e Civic Center, Mo'ikeha Building, Meeting Room 2A/2B.

The following Commissioners were present: Chairperson Pat Griffin, Anne Schneider, Victoria Wichman, Kuuleialoha Santos, Larry Chaffin Jr., and Charlotte Hoomanawanui (*entered at 3:11 p.m.*).

The following Commissioners were absent: David Helder, Stephen Long, and Althea Arinaga.

The following staff members were present: Planning Department – Kaaina Hull, Shanlee Jimenez; Deputy County Attorney Jodi Higuchi-Sayegusa; Office of Boards and Commissions – Administrator Jay Furfaro, Commission Support Clerk Darcie Agaran.

CALL TO ORDER

The meeting was called to order at 3:04 p.m.

APPROVAL OF THE AGENDA

Ms. Griffin: The first item on the agenda is the Approval of the Agenda. With the Commission's permission and approval, I would like to defer Item E.1., the review of our ordinance, to next month, January, because we have a lot of things on our agenda now. So with that, may I have a motion to...

Mr. Chaffin Jr.: Where is E.1.?

Ms. Griffin: It's on Page 2 of your agenda under "Commission Education".

Mr. Chaffin Jr.: Oh okay. And we're...

Ms. Griffin: Deferring it to next month.

Mr. Chaffin Jr.: All of E?

Ms. Griffin: E.1.

Mr. Chaffin Jr.: E.1.

Ms. Griffin: Just the review of our ordinance.

Ms. Schneider: I make a motion that we defer Item E.1. for January's meeting.

Mr. Chaffin Jr.: Second.

Ms. Griffin: Thank you. And approve the agenda with that change. It's been moved and seconded. All in favor? (Unanimous voice vote) Opposed? Hearing none, we approve the agenda 5:0.

APPROVAL OF THE NOVEMBER 3, 2015 MEETING MINUTES

Ms. Griffin: Next is the Approval of the November 3rd Meeting Minutes.

Mr. Chaffin Jr.: I have a comment.

Ms. Griffin: Okay.

Mr. Chaffin Jr.: On the first page, it refers to people by their first names, and I think that's inappropriate.

Ms. Griffin: Are you saying the people who are present and absent? Is that what you're...?

Mr. Chaffin Jr.: Well that, but on the first page of the minutes under...next to last, "Ms. Griffin: And Stephen was there for the Mayor's keynote address." I think that is inappropriate just to say "Stephen" or "Pat" or "Bernard".

Ms. Griffin: Okay, thank you. That was a transcription, so they wrote it as, in this case, I said it. But I will note that in general operations rather than to change the minutes.

Mr. Chaffin Jr.: Okay. Thank you.

Deputy County Attorney Higuchi-Sayegusa: I'm sorry. Just to clarify, you would rather have the full name reflected? Or...?

Mr. Chaffin Jr.: At least the last name.

Deputy Director Kaaina Hull: That could be an issue because while the transcriber is listing the speaker by their last name, the transcriber is transcribing the specific verbatim words that the speaker said. So if the Chairperson referenced "Victoria" to Commissioner Wichman in the discourse of the dialog...in the discourse that she referred to Commissioner Wichman as "Victoria", the transcriber is going to state, verbatim, that the name "Victoria" was used.

Ms. Griffin: Right. We are looking at the minutes right now, which will remain transcribed as we've spoken them. And then we can bring up the discussion on how we should address one another as a separate issue. So is there a motion to approve the minutes? Or are there changes?

Ms. Schneider: I make a motion that we approve the minutes as stated.

Ms. Wichman: Second.

Chair Anderson: Okay, it's been moved by Ms. Schneider and seconded by Ms. Wichman to approve the minutes as written. All in favor? (Unanimous voice vote) Opposed? Hearing none, the motion carries 5:0.

ANNOUNCEMENTS AND GENERAL BUSINESS MATTERS

Ms. Griffin: Announcements and General Business Matters. Mr. Furfaro, can you bring us up-to-date on our Boards and Commissions?

Administrator Furfaro: Good afternoon, Madam Chair and Commissioners. We are coming towards the year-end period, and we have several business matters that are being solicited and finalized regarding your Commission makeup. First of all, Commissioner Kuulei Santos, I believe this will be your last meeting. We do want to say to you that your work on this Commission and for the County of Kaua'i has been much appreciated, and we have some recognition pieces we will be sharing with you shortly, but have an enjoyable meeting today.

Ms. Santos: Thank you.

Administrator Furfaro: I would also like to say that Commissioner Althea Arinaga and Commissioner Larry Chaffin, they can serve one (1) additional time and they are both Council appointees. We have started working with the Deputy County Clerk on those resolutions for the Council to acknowledge them being reappointed. Now, Mr. Chaffin is actually filling a term, so he would be entitled to two (2) additional terms with his architectural background. I would also like to say that Anne Schneider, Commissioner, I'm using everybody's first and last name to help with the minutes. (Laughter in background) But Anne, if I may, we thank you for your willingness to serve another term, and you are a mayoral appointee and we are getting a letter off to you reappointing you for a second term.

Ms. Schneider: Thank you.

Administrator Furfaro: We certainly want to thank all of you for your work. And Kuulei, we might call on you in a year because you have to rest one (1) year, but thank you very much. Chairwoman, that's all I have to share with you today.

Ms. Hoomanawanui entered the meeting at 3:11 p.m.

Ms. Griffin: Well, thank you very much. I appreciate you taking such an interest in our Commission.

Administrator Furfaro: We have a wonderful group here.

Ms. Griffin: Thank you. Other announcements? General business matters?

Mr. Hull: The Department has one (1) announcement, Chair.

Ms. Griffin: Please.

Mr. Hull: Recently the Honolulu Magazine was published, and in that magazine, it lists the top endangered places here in Hawai'i, and the Department will be circulating that list for your consideration. One (1) of the properties listed is the Shell Gas Station here in Kaua'i, which has received considerable discussion and debate at this particular body. Yeah, so we'll be circulating that.

Also, too, I'd like to announce or communicate to you folks that recently this body took action on a telecommunication tower disguised as a clock tower with a recommendation going up to Planning Commission. While Planning Commission sent that request to you folks, and when they did so, there was literally no agreement between the Commissioners, as far as the stealthing strategies employed for the telecommunication facility. After the review and discussion and the ultimate action by this body, the Planning Commission wanted to convey their thanks and gratitude. From that recommendation, they were able to take action, and took your recommendation as sent to them, so thank you.

Ms. Griffin: Thank you.

COMMUNICATIONS

Ms. Griffin: Communications. I believe we have none at this point.

UNFINISHED BUSINESS

Re: Report from investigative committee members (Permitted Interaction Group) to discuss and explore strategies on informing the public and land owners on the State and National Register of Historic Places Nomination Process and Incentives for placing historic structures on to the National or State Register of Historic Places.

Ms. Griffin: Unfinished Business. No. 1, the report from the investigative committee members to discuss and explore strategies on informing the public and landowners on the State and National Register of Historic Places nomination process and other incentives. Our PIG did meet, and as I mentioned last month, we see that as a possibility for CLG funds to establish some comprehensive PR program. To take it off of the Unfinished Business as a PIG and discuss it as a possible application, I need a motion to remove this as a working PIG.

Ms. Wichman: I move that we remove this as a working PIG and use it as a...what was it?

Ms. Griffin: Possible CLG funding.

Ms. Wichman: For possible CLG funding.

Ms. Schneider: I second the motion.

Ms. Griffin: Thank you. It's been moved by Ms. Wichman and seconded by Ms. Schneider that we remove Item C.1., the PIG, and consider it for possible CLG application for funding. Is there discussion? Hearing none. All in favor? (Unanimous voice vote) Opposed? None. The motion carries 6:0.

Re: Report from investigative committee (Permitted Interaction Group) to discuss and explore creating a Smart Phone Application to identify and highlight Historic properties on Kaua'i.

Ms. Griffin: No. 2, report from investigative committee to discuss and explore creating a Smart Phone App to identify and highlight Historic Properties. The same is true here. We will have a presentation about that subject that's being done privately, so I would like a motion to remove this PIG from our Unfinished Business.

Ms. Schneider: I make a motion that we remove this investigative committee from Unfinished Business and add it to the CLG, possibly.

Ms. Wichman: Second.

Ms. Griffin: Okay. It's been moved and seconded that we remove this PIG on the Smart Phone App and discuss it in the presentation. Discussion? (None) All in favor? (Unanimous voice vote) Opposed? Hearing none, this motion carries 6:0.

Re: Report from investigative committee (Permitted Interaction Group) to discuss and explore draft update of the Kaua'i Historic Resource Inventory. Once formed and the task completed, the investigative committee will present its findings to the Commission in a duly noticed meeting for decision-making.

Ms. Griffin: The third is a PIG to discuss and explore draft update of the Kaua'i Historic Resource Inventory. Once formed and the task completed, the investigative committee will present its finding to the Commission in a duly noticed meeting for decision-making. We have asked the State Historic Preservation Division to give us some kind of direction on how to evaluate this, in Mr. Hull's terms, very robust inventory draft. We are so fortunate today to have three (3) people from the State Historic Preservation Division here with us; our Architecture Branch Chief Kaiwi Yoon, our Architectural Historian Anna Broverman, and our Kaua'i Archaeologist Mary Jane Naone. Would you all like to speak to this at this point?

Kaiwi Nui Yoon: Good afternoon, Madam Chair and members of the Commission. Kaiwi Nui Yoon, Architecture Branch Chief, State Historic Preservation Division.

Anna Broverman: Anna Broverman, Architectural Historian, State Historic Preservation Division.

Mr. Nui Yoon: Thank you, Madam Chair. We actually come bearing gifts for this Commission. (Laughter in background) It's held in my hand. I had a discussion with Madam Chair, I want to say about a week ago or two (2) weeks ago. Pursuant to that conversation, I called the consultants at Wiss Janney and they were kind enough to send me the RLS draft report of Līhu'e, and I'll leave these here with either Kaaina or other Staff. Please feel free to make copies. Anna Broverman has reviewed the RLS draft copy. Do you want to say a few words about that?

Ms. Broverman: Sure.

Mr. Nui Yoon: I've got a lot to say about that, but I'll let Anna go first.

Ms. Broverman: Main points from the draft that I think you guys should be reviewing. No. 1, the architectural styles. I think the descriptions need to be looked at again; some of them seem to be off. I think, specifically, the neoclassical and classical revival styles, and also, maybe, craftsman. So I think you guys should look at the styles. Also, several properties, like I think 70% of the properties surveyed were considered eligible for listing on the National Register, which is a very high amount; usually it's about 15% for a survey, 10% to 15%. So I suggest that you guys revisit these after we give you some direction on how to pare down the survey, and go through that.

Mr. Nui Yoon: So Madam Chair, in response to our findings and perhaps Wiss Janney being uninformed about the actual architectural styles here in Hawai'i, we have started developing, within the Architecture Branch, a means to define as a State what, then, are these styles? That first effort...I've already called a meeting with Fung and Mason, and other consulting parties, and that will include the CLG's. Madam Chair, we will have a first meeting to kick-off what Fung and Mason feel about it because I think we all struggle with the styles. And I think as a State, if we can all agree that these are actually what we think these styles are, then going forward, I think it will make it much easier for us to all subscribe to these styles. It's understood that the document or whatever we develop is not to be prescriptive, but to serve as a means to define, once and for all, what are our styles. I can say that Anna and myself traveled to the Big Island, and we were in Pāpa'aloa. I was blown away because we found a district that I'm going to guess was built in the 50's; very unique styles to that area. I've never seen it before. I'm guessing that I'm going to find the same thing here in Kaua'i in pockets that you recommend, so I think we need to start gathering the data and being clear about what these styles are.

Madam Chair, I also know that...not agenda'd, but if you would like to hear any SHPD updates at this time, I could provide them.

Ms. Griffin: Let us stick to the agenda item, but you won't get away today without telling us.

Mr. Nui Yoon: Okay.

Ms. Griffin: Thank you.

Mr. Nui Yoon: Thank you, Madam Chair.

Mr. Chaffin Jr.: I have a question.

Ms. Griffin: Yes.

Mr. Chaffin Jr.: Is there any coordination between your group and the School of Architecture at UH?

Ms. Griffin: That's a really important question, but let's wait until you give the update, and get through our PIG's right now.

Mr. Nui Yoon: Okay. Thank you, Madam Chair.

Ms. Griffin: Thank you.

Mr. Chaffin Jr.: I must say, I finally figured out what you're talking about the PIG's.

Ms. Griffin: About the PIG's. (Laughter in background)

Mr. Chaffin Jr.: Permitted Interaction Group.

Ms. Griffin: I do apologize for that. We try to keep acronyms to a minimum. Yes, that is a PIG.

Mr. Hull: If I could interject, Madam Chair, the Department also has for distribution...I know Kaiwi brought the actual digital, but we have, for distribution, the hard copies for you guys today.

Ms. Griffin: Please.

Mr. Hull: So we'll hand them out.

Ms. Griffin: We three (3) and Stephen Long are that Permitted Interaction Group, but I'm glad you have it for everybody.

C.4. Don't get comfortable sitting down in the audience. This is discussion on the status of the Certified Local Government. So Kaiwi, SHPD, State Historic Preservation Division, is part of the status of the Certified Local Government.

Mr. Hull: If I could also clarify what was just handed out to you folks.

Ms. Griffin: Oh, I'm sorry.

Mr. Hull: What was just given to you was the update of the Kaua'i Historic Resource Listing – Reconnaissance Level Survey. It has everything from the evaluation to the criteria and the manner and methodology in which the survey was carried out. It doesn't have the actual properties being

recommended. We have that on access database. There are several thousand properties that were reviewed. There are ultimately...I want to say roughly 1,200 that received, from the consultant, standing of eligibility for the inventory, which, essentially, the Permitted Interaction Group is going to have to...it's the yeoman's task of having to whittle that down. I mean, a consultant basically said this meets their criteria for nomination. Both the Department, SHPD, as well as your current Chair have all looked at this list and come to an agreement that many of them should be removed, but it's going to be a yeoman's effort to actually go through the task of looking at that list of 1,200 and removing many of the recommended property sites.

Ms. Schneider: That's way more than what was on the previous list.

Mr. Hull: Yes. If you compare the Lihu'e recommendations, I believe there are seven hundred (700) listed under the survey as eligible. Our current inventory has seventy (70). (Laughter in background) And the seven hundred (700) in this standing of eligibility, that does not include the 70 that are current in our inventory, so it's above and beyond those seventy (70). The survey is recommending seven hundred (700), so it's going to be an arduous task, but one that I know you folks are up to. (Laughter in background)

Ms. Schneider: Kaaaina, do you have them plotted on plat maps? Or just the list?

Mr. Hull: It's the list, as well as...and the reason we cannot distribute the list like we just distributed the survey methodology and what not, is because it's not just the property itself. There are also photographs that once you click on it, it goes through the various standards, but then it also...you can click out and see the photographs. More than likely, as we work with Anna folks to further define the criteria for you folks to utilize in paring down this list, the best manner would probably be getting together as a group or divvying it up, but at some point either we give you access to the access database, or if you wanted to come in and basically look at each one of the pictures and essentially go through that yay or nay process with each property.

Ms. Griffin: The disc that you brought has photos and so forth? That's the big thing. Okay, great. Thank you for that explanation.

Re: Discussion on the status of the Certified Local Government.

Ms. Griffin: Okay. C.4., discussion on the status of Certified Local Government. Mr. Yoon.

Mr. Nui Yoon: Aloha, Madam Chair. I can present some of the updates of what's going on in State Historic Preservation Division and its relevance to the CLG's. Last we spoke, I think I mentioned the SHPD Statewide Strategic Plan. We are close to procuring the consultant to help us with that effort, and that should be finished by December; at latest, January. Its relevance to the CLG is that the Branch Chiefs and the Administrator had a meeting, and we advocated for community-based support with that plan and involvement, and that's where the CLG's will come in. Our presence here today is in due respect to the Administrator who understands that we need to be here with each of the CLG's, interfacing with you, and trying to understand, locally, what's

going on in each of the communities. So I'm happy to say that I think the CLG's involvement with our Statewide Strategic Plan efforts are going to be underway very soon.

I am also working with Hawai'i Department of Transportation for a Statewide PA for our bridges owned and operated by HDOT. Those, of course, will have to involve the CLG's and your input. It's still at its early stages, but we are confident that we've established good relationships with DOT going forward with this PA. As you know, a lot of our bridges are dilapidating and they are deteriorating, but nonetheless, special, historic structures.

Ms. Griffin: And in the hope of not having a lot of acronyms, a "PA" is?

Mr. Nui Yoon: Oh, Programmatic Agreement, Madam Chair.

Ms. Griffin: Thank you.

Mr. Nui Yoon: Madam Chair, an update on Act 89, which is the bill that appropriates monies for digitization for State Historic Preservation Division; more importantly, the architectural surveys. We are close to getting the money. It's only eight (8) or nine (9) months after they appropriated it. Our understanding is it is stuck in DAGS.

Ms. Broverman: It's the Department of Accounting and General Services.

Mr. Nui Yoon: And we'll let you know as soon as we know that the money has been appropriated because we are dying to begin surveys.

To Commissioner Chaffin's point about SOA and AIA, we also have been working with Senator Gabbard and Senator Espero on the reflecting pools at the State Capitol. As a result of that, the current thought is to have a designed competition with the students at University of Hawai'i, School of Architecture. Sorry, SOA is School of Architecture. And that is the beginnings of how we are trying to integrate with SOA. Side note, I am a graduate of SOA, so I do want this to happen; and also, AIA. We have contacted AIA to help with that effort. I think historically in the past perhaps there weren't opportunities for SHPD to partner with these organizations, but I think we are beginning to find that there are some great opportunities out there for us to capitalize upon. I want to reaffirm that our involvement with the CLG's and our collaboration with the CLG's are going to be important.

Other than that, there are no other updates, Madam Chair, unless Anna has anything to say.

Ms. Broverman: Yes. Hello, Madam Chair, again. My last point is for the CLG grant funds. The fiscal year 2015 funds are in our hands, so we could start projects on that as soon as possible. We have \$57,000 that's available for the '15 year funds, and those expire at the end of September of 2016. So we need to get projects from the CLG's as soon as possible because of how long procurement takes for those projects. We have talked with the Chair about doing training projects, such as the camp training that we held last year in Kona. Another one of those, or more training in general for the public about preservation. We also have the 2016 grant monies coming up.

We'll get those monies this spring, so we are already trying to line up projects for that grant cycle. Those projects need to be completed by the end of September of 2017. So you could plan much larger projects for that grant cycle, and I would like to have proposals for that grant by mid-January.

Mr. Nui Yoon: What happens if they don't present by the January date?

Ms. Broverman: If we don't have proposals by mid-January, we'll start pushing projects onto you guys, so I'd really hope that you come to us with some excellent projects. I know you have some in mind.

Ms. Chaffin Jr.: Is there a priority list?

Ms. Griffin: We've talked for a long time about expanding the inventory because it's one (1) of our requirements for being a Certified Local Government and are dated, to say the least, except for this one that's hovering at our fingertips, so that has been an important thing. We did have the Permitted Interaction Group, the PIG, to discuss educating the public and incentivizing, in a way, the public to appreciate preservation more. And then the training, which always...since we have always...we're cycling through with new Commissioners as our current ones term out, so training. And there are over a hundred years of laws and standards, so we all can use it at any given time. So those were three (3) things we talked about.

If it's mid-January, are you talking a full application when you say proposal?

Ms. Broverman: You can hand in a draft application, and then we can work with you guys to finalize it as quickly as possible.

Ms. Griffin: So Mr. Hull, what does that mean in terms of making...from this body, making recommendations that the Planning Staff will have to then create a proposal with?

Mr. Hull: Ultimately, the in-house Staff can look at potential proposals for the CLG monies, as well as it coming from this body. It may come from one (1) of your existing PIG's, as well as if you wanted to agendize a particular topic to discuss, as a body, whether or not it be looked into further for an application.

Ms. Griffin: So let me ask all of you to comment on projects that you see as important that are potential projects for use of the Federal CLG funds.

Ms. Schneider: I think the ones we have are (inaudible) that we need to go forward with the two (2) PIG proposals that we already have an idea of what we want to do. We just don't have the money to do them. The PIG for the Smart Phone Application would require some funds.

Ms. Griffin: And we will hear a presentation about that because... Did you want to say something about it?

Ms. Wichman: About the Smart Phone? Well, because the County has already hired Nā Hōkū Welo, or Kaua'i Nui Kuapapa, and that's part of their project as well, so I don't see why we should be doing the same thing that they are doing because we want to work with...

Ms. Griffin: Or giving them funds.

Ms. Wichman: Pardon? No, they have funds from the County already for this project. So unless we would like to help them with that, but right now it's their kuleana.

Ms. Griffin: Okay. So there is the public relations educational campaign as one (1) possibility that could potentially be simple enough, in a way, so that it could potentially be applicable for the 2015 funds, if that's something that you all feel would be worthwhile, and then we do talk about inventory by January, and the training. Does anybody have priorities?

Would someone like to give a motion that we, if Staff willing and able, apply for the PR, do some kind of public educational program, for those 2015 funds?

Ms. Santos: I have a question. You know for educational stuff and things like that, is there a way we could do, maybe, a contest with the high schools and give a donation? I mean, could we do a program where you have like a school pick a project, and they do the research, and all that kind of stuff, and you can give out money or something? Just so that kids on Kaua'i get interested in it, you know, because education can be so boring; you know, you listen to a radio, or you...and that stuff is boring. When you get money involved or when you put competitions or when you...you know, you give all of the history classes...you say okay guys, do an inventory of your neighborhood. Or Kapa'a, pick a place in Kapa'a, you do the history, you do the blah, blah, blah, and at least there is something that can entertain them and be interactive and make it more of a competition. They come and present, and then we pick the winner, and we give them a check for \$500; or whatever...you know what I mean.

Ms. Schneider: So you think we should make a presentation at the schools?

Ms. Santos: Something to that nature.

Ms. Griffin: Can we get a motion? And then we can discuss because that's good. Now, it has to be completed with the report and everything back by September 30th.

Ms. Santos: We better get on it then. I'm just saying.

Ms. Griffin: Is there a motion?

Ms. Santos: But our inventory wasn't done, I mean, it didn't happen in time, right? It wasn't completed by the date. I'm just saying today is my last meeting, so I can say whatever I want.

Ms. Griffin: May I have a motion on...

Ms. Santos: I get to do whatever I want today because it's my last day. (Laughter in background) You guys can kick me out. I don't care.

Ms. Griffin: I beg to differ. (Laughter in background) You are always valuable. But it's easier and more efficient to speak to a motion, and I saw Anne just starting to come forth with a motion on a possible application or proposal.

Ms. Schneider: I make a motion that we consider doing some educational outreach to the schools and to the general population in order to educate people about historic places.

Ms. Griffin: Is there a second?

Ms. Wichman: Second.

Ms. Griffin: It's been moved by Ms. Schneider and seconded by Ms. Wichman that we do a project proposal for educational outreach to schools and the general public about historic preservation.

Now, (laughter in background) Ms. Santos, what else would you like to tell us?

Ms. Santos: That's all. (Laughter in background) But I think it would be cool if you did like a contest and you had every high school or whatever. You know what I mean? Or even seniors. They all have senior projects to do. If they want to do a senior project on history, and that could be part of a scholarship. I don't know. Something to that nature, and it could be a small prize, you know what I mean? If you are going to do an education... Kaaina's giving me a dirty look right now, so...

Mr. Hull: No, I'm a little confused, as far as...concerning an outreach program initiated by this body. Essentially, are we looking at going after the CLG monies for...because as I understand, I need a little more clarification, there is 2015 monies available that have to be expended by September 2016. There are also 2016 monies that are going to become available that you folks are asking for the project application or call by this January.

Mr. Chaffin Jr. left the meeting at 3:40 p.m.

Ms. Broverman: Correct.

Ms. Griffin: Right.

Mr. Hull: So there's essentially two (2) pots of monies out there. One that has a fairly urgent timeline that needs to be expended; and those are the 2015 monies. Is there a project that this body would like to look at for those 2015 monies? And/or monies available for the 2016, which have to be expended...?

Ms. Broverman: 2016 has to be expended by September 2017.

Ms. Griffin: And we are dealing...what I asked for and received was a motion for the 2015, and then we'll deal with the 2016. But since you wanted to clarify, I would like a clarification from the Planning Department on whether or not you will be able to create a couple of proposals in the next month?

Mr. Hull: I think for the 2016 monies, Staff can work and discuss consultation with SHPD, as far as feasible projects that we might be able to propose this January, and we can come to this body, essentially, at the first meeting that we have in January to, essentially, give those recommendations on projects; so that can be done. Concerning the 2015 monies, I think it's appropriate for the discussion to happen and should there be action, I would just caution that in side discussions with SHPD, it looks like there may be room to actually get a project like that done within the short timeline, but we would still have to iron out some kinks, and we may have to come back to you at a subsequent meeting saying there may have been problems because...just for you to understand that there is a very short window to get a project like that done, and just to be aware of that.

Ms. Griffin: Okay, so it's been moved and seconded that we complete a proposal for an educational outreach project, however that's going to look, for the 2015 funds. And that is assuming that our Planning Staff can create the application in such an abbreviated time. Is there further discussion on this motion?

Mr. Nui Yoon: Madam Chair, if I may alleviate some of the pressure, this is not only architectural sites, but they could be archaeology sites as well, so historic archaeological sites are also part of that. And second thing is that the proposal, as I understand it, is about two (2) pages, so if there are maybe under five (5) projects that this Board (sic) really believes in, like in the case on the Big Island, they were very specific and said this one, this one, this one. That's pretty much a good nod to us as to what they're thinking, and we can already start collaborating with them to develop the proposal. If that helps any, Madam Chair.

Ms. Griffin: Thanks. I think in talking about educational outreach, we aren't limiting to architecture, but looking broadly at preservation. And we did have a Permitted Interaction Group that defined several possibilities for that outreach.

So, is there further discussion on the motion? Hearing none. All in favor? (Unanimous voice vote) Opposed? None. The motion carries 5:0.

We also talked about training. I don't know how you all are thinking about divvying up funds, how much...I know you will be talking about budget, but where that fits into these CLG funds.

Mr. Nui Yoon: Ideally, Madam Chair, we divide the funding to each of the CLG's equally. However, if there is a compelling reason that a CLG has a phenomenal project or something that really sticks out, then I think maybe collaborating with all of the CLG's and getting some kind of concurrence that it, indeed, is important, then perhaps we can adjust the funding. But we don't have a lot of time to do that.

Mr. Chaffin Jr. returned to the meeting at 3:45 p.m.

Ms. Broverman: We can also have projects that one (1) CLG sponsors that will benefit the CLG's throughout the entire State. So if a CLG has a project like that, then we could expend more than the divided amount on that.

Ms. Griffin: So, if the Kaua'i Historic Preservation Review Commission thinks training is important, that's something that we need to generate? Or will it be generated through you with assistance from Historic Kaua'i Foundation? I need a little direction here.

Ms. Broverman: Sure, Madam Chair. It will be created by you. It is an application for a project that you guys want. And then if we think the application needs help, we will help tweak it for you. But it should be a project coming from this Commission on what it wants.

Ms. Griffin: Even if we see it important Statewide?

Ms. Broverman: Yes.

Mr. Hull: I had a quick question for the SHPD Staff, if I might. CLG funds, can they be used for restoration projects of historic sites?

Ms. Broverman: Funds can be used for planning, the drawing of plans, any type of reports, soft costs. The only time it can be used for a hard cost is if it is a religious building, and that is something new that started in 2015.

Mr. Hull: Okay, so you couldn't actually go into the brick and mortar aspects of restoration?

Ms. Broverman: Correct.

Mr. Hull: As far as the plans are concerned, just to be clear, that historic site can be a private entity? It doesn't necessarily have to be a public entity?

Ms. Broverman: Correct.

Ms. Griffin: So is there a feeling about education that would instigate a motion?

Okay. On the 2016, we've talked every month about needing to update our inventory; not speaking, specifically, to what's been done already, but we've got three (3) other districts on the island that haven't been inventoried for fifteen (15) years or something. Do you all see that as encouraging the Planning Department to look at that for 2016 funds?

Ms. Santos: How much are the funds? How much is it? Is it \$50?

Ms. Broverman: \$57,000 each grant cycle.

Ms. Santos: Oh. And that's per island? Or it's per...whoever applies first or whoever has the best deal?

Ms. Broverman: Basically, yes.

Ms. Santos: Can we just have a running one? Every year we just hand in the same one? (Laughter in background) Until we get it updated. Right? Can we just make a copy of our last application and just turn that bad boy in?

Ms. Broverman: You could use a similar application to do different areas.

Ms. Santos: There we go. Just change the names.

Mr. Hull: Like I said in the previous discussion, the Department can work with SHPD in coming up with a potential priority list for action that you folks can review in the January meeting. Under consideration, it would be including, but not limited to an additional survey being done. We are a little hesitant given the amount of work that we are putting ourselves, as well as you folks in given the last survey. (Laughter in background)

Ms. Santos: I know. And that survey was a pain in the b-o-o-t-y with a capital A, and an s, and an s in between that. Right? How many years did that thing take? Four (4) years?

Mr. Hull: But that's not to say we shouldn't go down the line because ultimately, inventories need to be updated.

Ms. Griffin: So coming back to order. Why don't we wait until January, in that case, to receive the Planning Department's recommendations on how to proceed with an application for the 2016 funding.

Do I need a motion for that? No, we don't need a motion for that because we're okay on that. (Laughter in background) Any...yes?

Ms. Wichman: May I ask a question of Anna?

Ms. Griffin: Yes.

Ms. Wichman: Anna, when you mention the religious structures, is that defined as what types of religion?

Ms. Broverman: I'd have to double-check the rules since it's a new one, but I think that it's non-descriptive. It doesn't have to be a certain religion or...

Ms. Wichman: Okay, because I'm thinking, you know, Hawaiian culture they have heiau, and that's religious. It can be religious and political as well, but it is basically Hawaiian religion. So, would funds be available for restoration of sites as such?

Ms. Broverman: I think that's fine upfront, but we'd have to go through National Parks Service to see what they'd say because in the end, they are also approving the grant.

Ms. Wichman: Right, right.

Ms. Broverman: And I know they are a little hesitant on this because it is a brand new program, so I'm not sure where they stand on it. As of yet, they haven't given us any response to other...or any ways this money has been used for religious structures.

Ms. Wichman: Right, right. Okay. Yeah, I'd be curious to hear what they'd have to say. Thank you.

Ms. Griffin: I surely thought I saw in the "how to apply for and maintain CLG status" that monies were available for rehabilitation for National Registered properties, but you know more (inaudible).

Ms. Broverman: Usually whenever they say that, it's for soft costs; so not the bricks and mortars. So you can use it to draw blueprints to do plans, but not the actual bricks and mortar.

Ms. Griffin: Okay. Thank you. Anything else on our Certified Local Government?

Mr. Hull: I have one (1) last question, Chair, if you don't mind. So the funds that are available for restoration soft costs, is it solely restricted to those that are registered historic properties? Or can they be also applied to sites that, say, are on our inventory? Or that this Commission may deem historic?

Ms. Broverman: I'll have to look that up.

Mr. Hull: Okay.

Ms. Broverman: But I can get back to you.

Mr. Hull: Because it would be lovely to actually...if there was a project, to actually use it as leverage to get the site onto the Register.

Ms. Broverman: Right. Yes.

Ms. Griffin: Any further questions? Thank you all.

Mr. Nui Yoon: Thank you, Madam Chair.

NEW BUSINESS (None)

Ms. Griffin: We do not have New Business this month.

COMMISSION EDUCATION

Re: Discussion on Incentives for Historic Preservation.

Ms. Griffin: So we'll move into E, Commission Education. Since we've deferred E.1., E.2. is a discussion on incentives for historic preservation?

Mr. Hull: I believe SHPD was giving that presentation.

Ms. Griffin: Okay. The incentives for historic preservation. One (1) of the incentives would be well helped, and we mentioned this last month, with the County hiring a Preservation Planner who could avoid potential difficulties. And we talked about putting the Planning Department and the Mayor putting it in its budget for next year. Mr. Hull, do you have anything to report on that?

Mr. Hull: I think every year KHPRC has asked for a Preservation Planner, and in each one of those requests, the Department has kind of come back and said we will consider it, but please understand that we are in a budgetary crunch. That has kind of been our stock answer much to your frustration over the past few years. I can say, with all sincerity, this year we are actively going after a position. I think it's come to a head where a Preservation Planner is, quite frankly, really needed. As me and Myles kind of bounce around and try to help guide and steer you folks as much as we possibly can, there is a need for a professional Preservationist Planner. There are certain budgetary limitations that the County is going through right now. A lot of it will be contingent upon, say, whether or not we get our TAT back; that's going to be a big discussion at Council coming up in the next few months. But, the Department is actively going after a Preservation Planner position. The outcome I hope to report back a success, but we're going to...

Ms. Schneider: Kaaina, could the CLG funds be used for paying for that?

Mr. Hull: In discussions with SHPD Staff right before this meeting, they are looking into that specific issue.

Ms. Griffin: Any other questions? Question...yes, please.

Mr. Chaffin Jr.: Is there a definition for "preservation"?

Mr. Hull: I don't know if within our own guidebook, as far as...I mean, among other things that would be required would be some sort of professional training within the preservation field, for which neither Myles nor myself have.

Ms. Schneider: You did have somebody right after Iniki.

Mr. Hull: Yes.

Ms. Schneider: And he was an architect.

Ms. Griffin: I'm sure that the State Historic Preservation Division or the Maui Department of Planning, who has a planner and a list of...you know, as they've gone out to hire, you won't have to reinvent the wheel on looking for...

Mr. Hull: Yes, and that's a fairly common practice, to look at other places, Counties, Municipalities that have that position established already, to use, essentially, their MQ's to determine the requirements for application.

Ms. Griffin: How can KHPRC help with that process with the item in the budget?

Mr. Hull: Well, we have to go through internal budgetary review, and should we be successful in getting it in the package from the Administration, then once it's at Council, having members or a letter of support, at the very least, would definitely be helpful.

Ms. Griffin: Would it be helpful for this Commission to send a memo to the Mayor, endorsing the idea?

Mr. Hull: Yes.

Ms. Griffin: Is there a motion to that effect?

Ms. Schneider: I make a motion that we send a letter to the Mayor suggesting that the Historic Planner would be advantageous, and maybe we could use some of the CLG funds for that purpose. Oh, okay. We won't have the CLG funds. (Laughter in background)

Ms. Griffin: Please repeat your motion.

Ms. Schneider: I make a motion that we write a letter to the Mayor suggesting that we get a Historic Planner and endorsing that budget audit.

Ms. Griffin: Okay. Is there a second?

Mr. Chaffin Jr.: I'll second it, but I have a question.

Ms. Griffin: It's been moved by Ms. Schneider and seconded by Mr. Chaffin that we send a letter to the Mayor asking that a position of Preservation Planner be included in the budget. Discussion?

Mr. Chaffin Jr.: What is the definition of a Preservation Planner? Is that a college degree? Is that a certification by some organization by AIA or some other?

Ms. Griffin: Please, Kaiwi.

Mr. Nui Yoon: Madam Chair, as far as SHPD's concerned, a Preservation Planner is one that is SOI qualified, which is basically your education and years of experience. Anna, do you have anything?

Ms. Broverman: Sure. SOI qualified is the Secretary of the Interior standards. So these Secretary of the Interior has outlined for architects, planners, historians, preservationists the qualities you need in order to be a professional in that field. So they would meet those.

Mr. Nui Yoon: I would underscore or highlight the fact that the experience is very important. HDOT is in the same boat as a lot of agencies as they, through their 106 Process, must have SOI qualified professionals on their Staff. As you know, HDOT had just hired an archaeologist, but the Architecture Historian position remains unseated. I want to say something about the experience because as you negotiate through any type of reviews or 106 compliance, you need that professional judgement. Kaaina and his Staff, albeit, are doing the best that they can. It takes a certain experience and education to make those determinations.

Ms. Griffin: Thank you very much. Do you have other questions?

Mr. Chaffin Jr.: No.

Ms. Griffin: Thank you. You all can sit there for a minute because I think since we are talking about incentives, we are going to have other questions for you, so stay in the hot seat. (Laughter in background)

I also want to say that this year, as I've been Chair, it's been a real pleasure to work with both Myles Hironaka and Kaaina Hull. They've always been receptive, responsive, and genuinely interested, so I think that Kaaina doesn't do himself enough credit to plead ignorance on so many of these issues. You've been very competent and qualified.

So the Staff will draft a note and I will be happy to sign it on encouraging a Preservation Planner to be included in the budget. Oh, but we need to vote. (Laughter in background) Is there further discussion?

Mr. Hull: If I could interject before your vote.

Ms. Griffin: Yes.

Mr. Hull: Concerning the agenda items, you may want to check to see if there are any members of the public that would like to speak to the agenda item.

Ms. Griffin: To this motion? Because we have a motion on the floor right now.

Mr. Hull: That's true. I think we have already passed that time.

Ms. Griffin: Let me finish that, and then I'll ask overall on the incentives because this is one (1) portion of it.

All in favor? (Unanimous voice vote) Opposed? Hearing none, that motion carries 6:0.

And thank you for that clarification, Kaaina. So on the more general discussion of incentives for historic preservation, is there anyone in the public who wants to speak to that agenda item? Okay.

Ms. Higuchi-Sayegusa: Perhaps you could ask for public testimony on any item. We could receive that first, and then we can go on with the meeting. I don't see that there's very many public here, so rather than calling for each item that passed, you can...

Ms. Griffin: Each item? Okay, okay. Thank you. Is there anyone in the public who would like to speak on any of our agenda items at this point?

Nancy McMahon: I would...

Ms. Griffin: Ms. McMahon.

Ms. McMahon: I'll stand back here (inaudible).

Ms. Griffin: No, no. Come up and introduce yourself.

Mr. Chaffin Jr.: She needs no introduction. (Laughter in background)

Ms. McMahon: Thanks. I'm Nancy McMahon. I'm here actually...I'll come here as Parks and Recreation, but I was the former Deputy SHPO, and the Archaeologist for Kaua'i, and then the State Archaeologist for SHPD for twenty (20) some years. In digging up things at Parks and Recreation, one (1) of the things about projects for your money and for some things to spend the CLG, I happened to find another project I remembered while I was sitting here was...I found some of the signage for Alekoko Fish Pond that actually the Community College, I believe, started. I approved their signage. It was a project. I can't think of the woman's name that I worked with. She did it with students as one (1) of her masters' project she was working on. We have some of the signboards. I know there are some that has been...issue is vandalism, but maybe looking at signage at some of the County Parks; looking at interpretive signage. That takes some time and money to hire someone for some of those; some planning money for that.

The other thing...I was talking to them and I couldn't remember some of the rules about the CLG, but it's come up in the discussion about the bike path, but just recently, a consultant called me, an archaeological firm, now they are all calling me to hand me their artifacts that belong to projects that they worked on for the County of Kaua'i. I didn't know I was going to be the repository for that, but it reminds me of the fact that it was a very big issue. It was a big issue with the Parks Service to have curation facilities. We talked about a curation policy. It was actually one (1) of the red items in their list at one time that the Parks Service said that the State Historic Preservation doesn't even have a policy on that. Actually, Ross Koerte had started one about twenty (20) years ago or more. I followed up with it and wrote a draft guideline that I submitted to the Parks Service to kind of cover that item. In the discussions with some of the things we have from the bike path, which now have been turned over to Parks and Recreation, we've got some stuff from the seawall, the Pono Kai seawall, what to do with that. We did have Public Works offer to us a facility already, which is the former Civil Defense location under the County Building. It is actually probably the

best location because it actually fits some of the curation policy guidelines; it's secure, it's waterproof, it has air conditioning. It actually fits what the Park Service and Army Core have in their guidelines. What we probably have to do, and maybe we could ask the CLG to help develop a plan to put a guideline together for us. Perhaps maybe look at...so the facilities would be already there, but the policies that we would have in that. And I'm thinking here would be a Parks and Rec, we are going to go work through the CLG, and my thoughts are, too, that maybe we...just so you know, Helen Wong, who is now...I think she's the Director of the Historical Society, is an archivist. She actually used to work for one of the archaeological firms, (inaudible), so I know her. She would know how to help set this up, so maybe we have to have...here's a partnership with everybody. She could also help man the hours down there because if we can bring back artifacts, and maybe it's not just the County artifacts, it's artifacts from all of the firms so they're back here, something that Pili was going to try to work on, but we actually would be a central location. The County would be the ideal location for this, and that facility would probably...it's already there. So maybe looking at...and if it's possible to work with SHPD on what we could be funded from that, if it's possible. Besides going back to DOT, whatever funds we could, from the bike path money, to really fund a curation facility down there; at least having a consultant put together a guideline for it. I think that would be a project, so I just wanted to bring that to your attention and kind of push another idea out there of the 20,000 that you have to figure out. But for funds that are available, I think that's a good one. I do have some draft curation stuff that I could submit, at least if you are looking at trying to do something in January, maybe you could go for.

Ms. Griffin: Thank you.

Mr. Hull: Could I ask a question of the speaker?

Ms. Griffin: Yes, please.

Mr. Hull: Nancy, on behalf of the Department of Parks and Recreation and its request as a possibility for CLG funds, as one (1) of the other priorities and duties of the Commissioners to look at nominations of sites, do you know if there's any willingness of the Department of Parks and Recreation to have or to go through the nomination process for any of the sites under its current jurisdiction?

Ms. McMahon: That hasn't come up, but I don't see why because to be honest with you, Kaneioluma was actually nominated years ago by Kalani Flores and Barbara Robeson. I think I used to have a draft of that nomination, so there's one, sort of, ready there that needs to be updated, but that would be one to do. We have to go through the process and ask them, but I don't see what...it would not hurt to have that done.

Ms. Griffin: Can I just suggest that the County...several parks and beach parks have fine historic pavilions. One (1) recently got demolished because it had gotten into such bad shape, but there are several pavilions around that are worthy of that kind of attention that Kaaina is suggesting.

Mr. Hull: And I only bring that up because in the past year, this body has been looking at specific sites to potentially nominate for the Historic Register, and one (1) of them is a Department of Parks and Recreation structure.

Ms. McMahon: And that's probably a good idea. Part of the other task that actually before being hired, the Mayor had sort of already asked me, besides being in charge of Hanalei and the Master Plan at Black Pot, was to come up with an asset management program, and truly look at what the park had, and trying to look at the structures and the date of the structures. So we're trying to go through this process right now of putting something together, sort of GIS focused with that, so trying to get something so we can get all of that data in that information. We do have an asset access database which has some of that information. Trying to get all of the construction plans together, some of those pavilions that we have out there. After we get that together, hopefully we'll have a program that we can go to the County Council with in...I would say January/February, then we'll have to go back there and start doing conditional reports, look at the condition of some of those, and make those decision trees to rehabilitate, repair, those kinds of things.

Ms. Griffin: Okay, thank you. Other questions? Thank you. It's my understanding that CLG funds can be used to prepare State and National Register nominations as well, so that's something to keep in mind.

Ms. Schneider left the meeting at 4:10 p.m.

Ms. Griffin: I don't get the sense that we have a formal presentation on incentives, but we continue to need that. I would ask the Staff to put on a future agenda, a training on tax incentives and other incentives for historic preservation that could be used profitably to raise the interest level of the general public for preservation. Anything else on incentives? Is this incentives?

Mr. Chaffin Jr.: Did you say tax? Income tax incentives?

Ms. Griffin: Property tax incentives.

Mr. Chaffin Jr.: Property tax, yes.

Ms. Griffin: And...are you going to say something about this? Okay, good because... Please, Ms. Broverman.

Ms. Schneider returned to the meeting at 4:11 p.m.

Ms. Broverman: Okay, Madam Chair. I've printed out copies of an incentive packet from California for everyone today. The California SHPO put together this packet on Federal programs, so programs that apply throughout the whole nation. All of these are really great for you guys to get a handle on. It includes tax credits, community block development grants, I believe they also have some information on the Advisory Council and the protections under Section 106. So I'd like to hand out these to all of you guys.

Ms. Griffin: Thank you. Do you want to give an overview of some of the incentives?

Ms. Broverman: I can give an overview of the tax incentives real quick. It's like I'm back in grad school. The Advisory Council of historic preservation just came and gave a talk to SHPO's Staff and other Federal Staff, and State and County, a couple of weeks ago. They are basically the people you want to go to if you have a problem with a Section 106 project, and they also are the ones that manage the programmatic agreements and memorandum of agreements for projects throughout the Nation. They are a really great group to go to if you need help advocating for a project, or you think that a wrong decision has been made and you need to appeal to someone. This also covers...let's see. Historic rehabilitation tax credits, so the National Parks Service has this program with the IRS where if you have a property that is income producing and listed on the National Register, you can apply for this 20% tax credit for rehabilitation projects on it. So if you have an old hotel or bank, and you need to clean up the outside, renovate it for a new use, then you can use this tax credit and get 20% off.

Ms. Griffin: How old does the building have to be?

Ms. Broverman: For this one, it has to be listed on the National Register, so it's most likely going to be over fifty (50) years old. The 10% rehabilitation tax credit, which is the next one we're talking about, is similar to the 20%, but it has to be on a building that was built before 1936. Those buildings do not have to be listed on the National Register. So quick overview. It also talks about preservation easements. I know Historic Hawai'i Foundation does take preservation easements sometimes, so if you'd like to dedicate, you can dedicate anything from a building façade to land to these non-profits for preservation and get a tax break, basically. They go into a couple of other more specific ones that I won't go over now because it's kind of boring, but you guys can read over it.

Ms. Griffin: Thank you. Are there questions?

Ms. Broverman: Sure. We can do future training on incentives that are State and Local based, so the local historic tax exemption and also some of the statewide programs. We can schedule that for a future KHPRC meeting.

Ms. Griffin: That would be great because this County does have a tax exemption for residential properties that are registered, so that would be really helpful to do that. And I hope the Staff will schedule that for us.

Ms. Santos: Can I make a comment?

Ms. Griffin: Please.

Ms. Santos: Just because it's my last meeting. (Laughter in background) And I know some of you guys disagree, but I'm going to say it anyway, and I made this speech about fifty (50) times, so maybe you've heard it already. But for me, you don't really get anything from being on the list; like there's no benefit, you guys don't really offer protection. I know my side is different, but

I'm going to say it anyway, so when anybody asks me about the list, I say it's a stupid list; just because it doesn't really help. Like it doesn't help for cultural sites or sites that are on the list. It doesn't really offer much protection, so I just want to throw that out there.

Ms. Broverman: The list acts more as a recognition, but also for certain review and compliance programs. So if you do have a project under the State law or the National law, it makes the person doing that project stop, look, and listen. So they have to stop, consult with the community in the Federal case, and see what repercussions on the historic property could be.

Ms. Santos: Not really because a lot of the laws just...they get overridden because of...you know, a good example is like the transportation. They override you guys all the time, so it doesn't matter if there's a historical site and it's located next to say an airport, you know, all of those laws go to waste anyway, I mean, being on the list or being protected or all that kind of stuff. I just had to throw that in there and get it on the record for my last meeting that it's just difficult. So it would be nice if you guys would be more of, you know, to help protect instead of just being a name on a list. That's all. That's my point to that, but anyways, just because it's my last meeting. Okay.

Ms. Griffin: Any other comments? Well, thank you again.

Re: Presentation from the State Historic Preservation Division, Historic & Cultural Branch, on the Kaua'i Ni'ihau Island Burial Council composition and duties and relationship with the State Historic Preservation Review Process.

Ms. Griffin: Moving to E.3., presentation from the State Historic Preservation Division on the Kaua'i Ni'ihau Island Burial Council composition and duties and relationship with the State Historic Preservation Review Process. Ms. Hoomanawanui, welcome.

Kauanoë Hoomanawanui: Hi. Thank you for having me and presenting today. I believe I do have a PowerPoint. A lot of you guys...I think it got printed out with your packets as well. I know it looks like a lot, but it won't be. It won't be that much.

My role at the State Historic Preservation Division as a Burial Site Specialist is under the History and Culture Branch. Mainly we deal with a lot of the history and culture, and specifically with our burials. In the past it was known as the Burials Program; early on in the beginning of SHPD.

Ms. Hoomanawanui presented a PowerPoint presentation on the Kaua'i Ni'ihau Island Burial Council for the record (on file with the Planning Department).

Ms. K. Hoomanawanui: And then I'm open to any questions or any type of insight that I can provide for the Council. Thank you very much.

Go ahead.

Mr. Chaffin Jr.: I had a question. I'm seeing and hearing this for the first time. The difference between the Commission and the Council. It seems like they were used intermittently.

Ms. K. Hoomanawanui: They are both the same. I call them "Council" because we identify them in the State statutes as "Council", but as far as the Office of Boards and Commissions, we are known as a "Commission". We are identified through the State as a Council, but we have to operate with a Boards and Commissions ethics standards.

So you guys know the whole process now, huh? (Laughter in background)

Mr. Chaffin Jr.: Is there a quiz? (Laughter in background)

Ms. K. Hoomanawanui: It will be the next time I have an inadvertent. (Laughter in background)

Ms. Griffin: How could the standards be changed to begin to include the adequate boundaries and buffer areas?

Ms. K. Hoomanawanui: There are two (2) aspects. We are going to look at the developer's aspect because they have the buffers and measurements for what they want, and then we are going to have the aspects of the cultural sensitivity of what the burial entitles. In my experience, we have actually done two hundred (200) feet buffers at Mauna Kea when we did the OMKM burial treatment plan, and that was because we couldn't associate the whole mountain to be a burial site, so the identified burial sites, regardless if they were surface or subsurface identified, OMKM gave them two hundred (200) feet and we were pleased with that. The two hundred (200) feet didn't overlap each other enough to cover the whole mountain, but at least we identified enough to give them that. And then I've worked with 2-foot buffers, depending on the circumstance. So I can see why the buffers on both sides would like to be open-ended, and it's to fixate the development and the protection of the burials together; I can hope. But as far as bringing in a standard, in working with burials for some years now, the standard would be difficult because of the case-by-case findings of how we go about discovering them. There are different ways that we are finding these burials. It's hard to say when it's impacted by such ground disturbing activities, what to do next based on how much more is left of that particular person in there because it's based on an impact. And if we want to keep it there, and such a scenario would be a road. We want to keep the road in alignment to where it is. They just did ground surfacing maybe a foot off of where the existing footprint was and we found a burial. We want to keep it there because of the in situ portion. It wasn't fragmented yet, or (inaudible) portion. We try hard to develop... sometimes we use boxes, almost like putting them back in a coffin, for additional protection next to a boundary, such as a road or something like that, just to make sure the disintegration period within the sand that they're in, mainly sand here on Kaua'i, mainly so that they can decompose as they would if we never impacted them. We want to keep it in the same state as we found it, to the best that we can, with the protection we can, although having a development right next to it, because it was unanticipated, I guess you can say. And that's the idea of having them previously identified, and not unanticipated. Now with Kaua'i, Kaua'i is so small and of course we'd like to say the whole island is a burial site. Unfortunately, we haven't got that far to have learned the laws to do that, so we have to go ahead and try to associate and mitigate the best that we can in protecting the areas that have a high concentration of burials.

Ms. Griffin: Other questions? Well, thank you so much. We all are working towards similar process and I'm trying not to say "end", but we appreciate you letting us know more about how the Burial Council works and how you, in your role with the State Historic Preservation Division, work with it. I hope you'll come back anytime.

Ms. K. Hoomanawanui: Well, I hope to have you guys read what you need. If you have any further questions, I'd like to bring a Burial Councilmember with me as well next time, so you guys get a hands-on from a Commissioner himself. Keith Yap is my Chair. If he's not so busy, I'd like him to join me as well. You guys can ask him hands-on questions as a Burial Councilmember on the scenarios that they have and the way they may view it because it's a little bit different than the way the State sees it than the Burial Councilmember. That goes for a geographic representative as well, and not necessarily a large landowner, because in my case, my Chair is actually a large landowner.

Ms. Griffin: Okay.

Ms. K. Hoomanawanui: Alright, thank you.

Ms. Griffin: Thank you so much.

Re: Presentation on the Kaua'i Nui Kuapapa Program by the County of Kaua'i, Office of Economic Development.

Ms. Griffin: And finally, under Commission Education, E.4., the presentation on the Kaua'i Nui Kuapapa Program by the County of...I'm not sure about this, "by the County of Kaua'i and Office of Economic Development", but do we have a representative here to tell us about the program?

Ms. Wichman: The reason it was put under that title was because Nalani Brun actually asked for this to be on the agenda.

Ms. Griffin: I see.

Ms. Wichman: And she's actually the head of this project.

Ms. Griffin: Somehow I thought we had, coming out of the PIG, the establishment of a PIG, we've been asking for it, but it works out to the same end and we are happy to have you.

Ms. Santos and Ms. Higuchi-Sayegusa left the meeting at 4:43 p.m.

Mr. Hull: And also, too, Chair, while they are getting their report together, just for your own edification, a lot of the fund...I don't know if it's a sole fund source, but many of the funds that the program receives come from Office of Economic Development, so there's a partnership.

Ms. Griffin: Right, right. Thank you.

And when you get ready, if you can start by introducing yourself.

Randy Wichman: Well, let's get going while he does this.

Ms. Griffin: Can you start by introducing yourself?

Mr. Wichman: Yes, I am. There are six (6) principal members of the Kaua'i Nui Kuapapa. I'm Randy Wichman. Canaan Hookano has important business engagements, so he had to leave. Victoria Wichman, Dr. Keao NeSmith, who is our Hawaiian language expert, and Kanoe Ahuna, and Dave DeLuca, who has Bess Press, so he's essentially our designer of the team itself right there. Canaan, Victoria, and I are the content material researchers. Dr. Kanoe Ahuna is the educational specialist, whose expertise is all in the curriculum that we're writing in terms of all of this because this is all going to be part of the DOE program, also.

Mr. Wichman presented a PowerPoint presentation on the Kaua'i Nui Kuapapa for the record (on file with the Planning Department).

Ms. Higuchi-Sayegusa returned to the meeting at 4:45 p.m.

Ms. Santos returned to the meeting at 4:48 p.m.

Ms. Schneider left the meeting at 5:13 p.m.

Ms. Schneider returned to the meeting at 5:15 p.m.

Ms. Wichman: This photo, this is the very first ahupua'a sign that we put up; Ha'ikū. We have six (6) ahupua'a signs up with the moku signs, and these are on private property, so we were able to do that many.

Mr. Wichman: The Anahola crew was awesome in that they took to it like ducks to water. When they found out we had to put their sign in the bushes, they were upset. The community went out and cleared the whole area, and made it beautiful. As you drive by that, all of them now, people are maintaining it. Thank you. Any questions? (Applause)

Ms. Griffin: Does anyone have questions for Randy?

Mr. Chaffin Jr.: Is this...your presentations, are they on tapes? Or are you writing a book?

Mr. Wichman: This book can be written by every person on this island, but our job, essentially, is the source material, the direction, and...well, the short answer is yes. The process is a lot more complicated, and our current mission right now is rewriting the curriculum for DOE. We've been in discussions with Kaua'i DOE, Department of Education. This has got to get into our schools. They are really, really supportive of the curriculum that we are writing for them. Also, we are getting requests from other islands, right now, for theirs, but we're kind of like going you know what, this is your thing, you know, this is your rock, this is your knowledge. You need to kind of deal with it. We can show you a basic template because we didn't want to use the original one that was done. It first started on O'ahu, done by the Ko'olaupoko Civic Club. If you go around O'ahu, you'll see the ahupua'a signs, but it's in the National Park kind of colors; the Federal colors

itself. They are really hard to see, and they just put the signs and walked away. We, on this island, couldn't do that, right? Yes, we'll put the signs up, but we are going to come up with the community lectures, we are going to come up with the Smart App, we are going to come up with all of the DOE curriculums, and we are going to re-institutionalize this knowledge back into the community. So we took this particular project, and because the original establishment of the borders started with us in the 1400's with our high chief Manokalanipo. He's the one who restructured the government, he's the one who reapportioned the land divisions and created the moku and ahupua'a system. O'ahu follows through the generation later, and then the rest of the islands. We are the beginning aspects of this entire land division idea. We felt we had a high obligation in order to take it to the highest level possible. Publication is a big deal, yes. Any help on the publication, we're good.

Ms. Santos: Just an FYI. California, one (1) of the counties over there, they used CLG funds and they wrote a Smart App. They're giving away the software for it, and all you have to do is load your data into it, and then you can (inaudible).

Mr. Wichman: Yes, we're aware of that. Bess Press, who has done a lot of it right there, also has the same technology and also has the same programs. Everything is done already; a bunch of the smart phone applications, more for children and children's books and things like that.

Ms. Santos: Yeah, this was a nice one.

Mr. Wichman: Yeah, no, it's all good. And part of it was a huge exhibit within the Kaua'i Museum. We've applied to ANA for that granting. Essentially it's a \$40,000 exhibit, high-tech, the finest that's ever seen in Hawai'i. We wanted to be both rooted in antiquity, but also rooted in twenty-first century technology, so we have all of those ideas and others. People have to go home.

Ms. Griffin: Yes. Following up on Kuulei's question, we established a PIG to discuss the app, and essentially withdrew it because of the Kaua'i Nui Kuapapa. I wanted to know what kind of timeframe you have for the unveiling of the app and its accessibility.

Mr. Wichman: It's going to be timed as soon as we clear Honolulu DOT, and are allowed to put our signs within the corridor; that has to go side-by-side itself. With no signage right there, we need to get beyond the Honolulu DOT's unwillingness to let...despite all of the County Council, all of the Administration, all of County Government, petitioning (inaudible), we need to get through that. As soon as we get that and we can actually put the ahupua'a signs, all of that will happen. We are so prepared for it that, literally, it will come up overnight. Yeah?

Ms. Santos: Are you guys taking interns? (Laughter in background)

Mr. Wichman: We are all volunteers. We are volunteers, so you know, if that's your cup of tea still, then of course, absolutely. We are also going to be...all of our public lectures, all of our curriculum, all of our schools, and yes, of course, we're wide open. Anybody who wants to learn more about this, bring it on.

Ms. Griffin: Well thank you so very much. I know it's been a long time, and you waited a long time to be able to make the presentation.

Mr. Wichman: Canaan had a lot to say. It would've been cool to hear what he had to say, as much as Victoria and...I'm trying to get out. (Laughter in background) But if you saw the full presentation by the full team members, you'll have six (6) different perspectives on this whole thing, and I think it will give you a wide range of understanding.

Letter of support? Well, that's up to you. Give it a chance, KHPRC. It's critical in this process that...especially where we are in terms of historic signage on the island. This is part of your kuleana. As soon as that language is within a working form and we semi-cleared it through DOT in Honolulu, the County and KHPRC will take a good, hard look at it, then we make it so.

Ms. Griffin: Would your group come back to us next month with the request for a letter of support? I think that would be appropriate, rather than starting to discuss that now.

Mr. Wichman: Absolutely.

Ms. Griffin: That would be great.

Mr. Wichman: Yes.

Ms. Griffin: So our next meeting is going to be January 7th, the first...you know very well.

Mr. Wichman: If you can place it on the agenda next month for us.

Ms. Griffin: We would appreciate that. And Kuulei, you had another...?

Ms. Santos: You know, we have CLG money that we can request for 2015 that you have to use in September of whatever's, so would that be helpful to use for educational purposes? We could use the money to...or you make the application...

Mr. Wichman: Absolutely.

Ms. Santos: And we'll submit it on behalf of us, but educate...you know, because we have one (1) month to come up with this application, so I'm just throwing ideas out there that...

Mr. Wichman: Yes. Your verbal support right now is utterly critical, yes. Financial is...

Ms. Santos: Well besides that, but we have some CLG funds that we have to turn in an application by January, and we're going for the whole education thing, so maybe part of that could be education for you guys to do like a community thing and that money can help support the theory.

Mr. Wichman: Yes. Which in turn it would beg the next question is that you would be an actual partner within this entire Kuapapa project. You would become a partner by doing that; consider

that. If you're willing to be a partner to the project, then I think everything else is...the financial aspects will fall in place.

Ms. Griffin: So please come back to us next month, and we can go forward from there with some request.

Mr. Wichman: Okay, thank you.

Ms. Griffin: Fabulous. Thank you so much. (Applause)

SELECTION OF NEXT MEETING DATE AND AGENDA TOPICS (1/7/2016)

Ms. Griffin: The next meeting date, Selection of Next Meeting Date. We meet the first Thursday, which happens to be January 7th.

Mr. Hull: Chair, if I could interject briefly, I know there had been some discussion, as far as whether or not this body wanted to alter the day that it meets in order to accommodate SHPD because of the fact that Maui has their KHPRC meetings on the exact same day as you folks. Sometimes SHPD, if they wanted to attend, would have to split their resources. I'm not sure if you wanted to have that discussion for that meeting, or delay it for another time.

Ms. Griffin: I would suggest that also become an agenda item, and if the Staff will talk to Maui and see whether their CRC or our KHPRC is easier to move dates, given the shortage of meeting room and space, and perhaps you can come back. If it's easier for Kaua'i, then you can come back with potential dates, rather than trying to discuss it blind. Thank you. Anything else? Hearing none, the meeting is adjourned.

ADJOURNMENT

The meeting was adjourned at 5:33 p.m.

Respectfully Submitted,

Darcie Agaran
Commission Support Clerk

Date: _____